

*IDAHO STATE DEPARTMENT OF
AGRICULTURE
DAIRY MOU REPORT
APRIL 29, 2008*

At the end of 2007, Idaho had 648 dairy farms - a net loss of 35 dairies from 2006. Average herd size increased from 696 mature animals per farm in 2006 to 783 in 2007. Total milk production was over 11.54 billion pounds up 5.9% from 2006. Average milk price was approximately \$17.80 per hundred weight up from \$11.89 in 2006. Farm gate receipts were 2.04 billion, up from 1.27 billion in 2006. The Department estimates the 2008 milk production will increase approximately 3% over 2007. Brewster Cheese, Rupert was licensed last fall and is producing cheese. The High Desert Powder Plant, Burley, is scheduled to open in July 2008 with a processing capacity of 2.5 million pounds of milk a day. Idaho Milk Products, Jerome, started construction of dry powder milk products. Their processing capacity will be approximately 3.5 million pounds of milk a day.


YEAR	NUMBER OF FARMS	POUNDS OF MILK (BILLIONS OF LBS.)	MATURE DAIRY COWS (IN THOUSANDS)	AVERAGE HERD SIZE
1991	1952	2.87	178	91
1992	1825	3.09	183	100
1993	1248	3.18	189	151
1994	1217	3.71	208	171
1995	1179	4.17	232	197
1996	1150	4.7	256	223
1997	1074	5.15	272	253
1998	980	5.7	301	307
1999	930	6.453	332	357
2000	894	7.189	354	395
2001	837	7.757	377	450
2002	788	8.155	390	495
2003	762	8.77	412	540
2004	737	9.09	435	590
2005	721	10.15	474	657
2006	683	10.89	476	696
2007	648	11.549	507	783
Statistics from ISDA & estimates from USDA Statistical Reporting				

U.S. milk output up 2.1 percent

MILK per cow slowed for the third consecutive year dropping to 1.6 percent compared to 2.0 percent a year earlier and 3.2 percent in 2005. Still, it was that gain in output and a slight boost in cow numbers that pushed overall milk production up 2.1 percent. Cow numbers were up 0.5 percent marking the third straight year that cow numbers rose.

	Billions of pounds	Percent change
2000	167.4	+3.0
2001	165.3	-1.3
2002	170.0	+2.8
2003	170.4	+0.1
2004	170.8	+0.2
2005	178.9	+3.5
2006	181.8	+2.8
2007	185.8	+2.1

The order of the top 10 states changed slightly: New Mexico (-4.2 percent) fell from seventh to ninth, switching spots with Michigan (+6.8 percent). The milk-deficit region in the southeastern U.S. continued to balloon as Kentucky and Missouri no longer produce enough milk to meet the fluid and soft product needs of their citizens.



2007 milk production

State	Milk output in million pounds	% change from 2006	Rank
Alabama	197	-3.0	44
Alaska	9	-10.2	50
Arizona	4,210	6.5	13
Arkansas	220	-14.7	42
California	40,683	4.8	1
Colorado	2,704	6.2	15
Connecticut	366	-0.5	35
Delaware	113	-0.9	47
Florida	2,111	-2.8	19
Georgia	1,389	-0.4	25
Hawaii	36	-37.7	48
Idaho	11,549	5.9	4
Illinois	1,917	-3.3	20
Indiana	3,371	2.9	14
Iowa	4,278	3.7	12
Kansas	2,151	-6.3	18
Kentucky	1,250	-4.1	26
Louisiana	349	-11.9	36
Maine	587	2.3	32
Maryland	1,045	-5.5	29
Massachusetts	255	-6.3	41
Michigan	7,598	6.8	7
Minnesota	6,656	3.4	6
Mississippi	324	-5.8	38
Missouri	1,678	-8.8	23
Montana	333	-5.9	37
Nebraska	1,075	-3.8	28
Nevada	560	1.1	33
New Hampshire	290	-1.0	40
New Jersey	168	-5.6	45
New Mexico	7,306	-4.2	9
New York	12,103	0.5	3
North Carolina	921	-2.4	31
North Dakota	444	-5.5	34
Ohio	4,960	2.5	11
Oklahoma	1,144	-5.8	27
Oregon	2,233	-0.4	17
Pennsylvania	10,682	-0.6	5
Rhode Island	18	-4.7	49
South Carolina	322	16.2	39
South Dakota	1,641	9.0	24
Tennessee	999	-4.8	30
Texas	7,379	3.3	8
Utah	1,732	-0.9	22
Vermont	2,531	-2.4	16
Virginia	1,763	-1.0	21
Washington	5,531	1.2	10
West Virginia	199	-0.5	43
Wisconsin	24,080	2.9	2
Wyoming	134	13.3	46
U.S.	185,602	2.1	

2007 cow numbers

State	Milk cows 1,000's	% change from 2006	Rank
Alabama	13.0	-7.1	43
Alaska	0.6	-25.0	50
Arizona	181.0	4.6	13
Arkansas	17.0	-15.0	40
California	1,813.0	1.9	1
Colorado	116.0	7.3	17
Connecticut	19.0	0.0	37
Delaware	6.8	-2.9	47
Florida	127.0	-3.8	18
Georgia	77.0	0.0	26
Hawaii	2.9	-32.6	48
Idaho	513.0	5.1	5
Illinois	103.0	0.0	21
Indiana	166.0	0.6	14
Iowa	213.0	3.9	12
Kansas	109.0	-2.7	20
Kentucky	90.0	-8.2	23
Louisiana	26.0	-6.4	32
Maine	23.0	-28.1	36
Maryland	58.0	-6.4	30
Massachusetts	15.0	-6.3	41
Michigan	335.0	4.7	9
Minnesota	460.0	2.2	6
Mississippi	21.0	-6.7	36
Missouri	112.0	-2.6	19
Montana	16.0	-5.3	38
Nebraska	59.0	-3.3	29
Nevada	27.0	0.0	34
New Hampshire	14.0	-6.7	42
New Jersey	10.0	-6.1	45
New Mexico	342.0	-3.7	8
New York	627.0	-1.7	3
North Carolina	46.0	-5.9	31
North Dakota	29.0	-6.4	33
Ohio	276.0	0.7	10
Oklahoma	69.0	-5.5	27
Oregon	115.0	-2.5	18
Pennsylvania	560.0	-0.7	4
Rhode Island	1.1	0.0	49
South Carolina	16.0	5.9	39
South Dakota	85.0	4.9	24
Tennessee	63.0	-6.0	28
Texas	349.0	4.2	7
Utah	85.0	-1.2	25
Vermont	140.0	-0.7	15
Virginia	100.0	-2.0	22
Washington	238.0	0.4	11
West Virginia	13.0	0.0	44
Wisconsin	1,247.0	0.3	2
Wyoming	7.1	6.0	46
U.S.	9,158.0	0.5	




2007 milk per cow

State	Milk per cow (pounds)	% change from 2006	Rank
Alabama	15,154	4.5	44
Alaska	14,667	19.7	46
Arizona	23,260	1.8	1
Arkansas	12,941	0.3	46
California	22,440	2.9	8
Colorado	22,915	-1.0	3
Connecticut	19,211	-0.5	20
Delaware	16,618	2.0	37
Florida	16,822	1.1	36
Georgia	18,169	-0.4	27
Hawaii	12,241	-7.7	49
Idaho	22,513	0.7	5
Illinois	18,612	-3.3	24
Indiana	20,507	2.2	12
Iowa	20,086	-0.2	13
Kansas	19,734	-6.8	14
Kentucky	13,889	4.5	47
Louisiana	12,034	-2.8	50
Maine	17,788	-0.8	32
Maryland	18,017	4.3	30
Massachusetts	17,000	-2.2	34
Michigan	22,681	2.0	4
Minnesota	18,817	1.2	23
Mississippi	15,429	3.2	41
Missouri	14,982	-6.4	45
Montana	18,500	-0.7	25
Nebraska	18,220	-0.6	26
Nevada	20,370	1.1	11
New Hampshire	20,714	6.0	9
New Jersey	16,600	3.8	35
New Mexico	21,363	-0.6	7
New York	19,303	2.2	19
North Carolina	19,188	3.7	21
North Dakota	15,310	4.2	42
Ohio	18,043	1.7	29
Oklahoma	16,580	-0.3	38
Oregon	19,417	2.2	16
Pennsylvania	19,422	0.2	15
Rhode Island	18,455	-4.7	39
South Carolina	17,889	9.8	31
South Dakota	19,306	3.9	18
Tennessee	15,857	1.3	40
Texas	21,143	-0.9	8
Utah	20,376	0.3	10
Vermont	19,079	-1.7	26
Virginia	17,530	1.0	33
Washington	23,239	0.8	2
West Virginia	15,308	-0.5	43
Wisconsin	19,310	2.8	17
Wyoming	18,831	6.9	22
U.S.	20,267	1.6	

WASTE INSPECTION DATA

During the eleven-year history of the MOU, 1996 through 2007, ISDA conducted 28,365 dairy farm waste inspections. A total of 4,045 noncompliance violations and 982 discharge violations were issued.

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Non-compliance	805	661	291	73	146	221	383	217	236	314	400	298
Discharge	437	326	99	32	14	21	20	5	11	2	6	9
Total Inspections	3196	2742	2697	2619	2386	2385	2350	2083	2082	1991	1913	1921



During 2007 the number of noncompliance violations decreased and discharge violations increased from 2006. In 2007, ISDA cited 9 dairy farms resulting in civil penalties of \$142,090.28 for violations of the Rules Governing Dairy Waste. Penalties for dairy waste violations are generally resolved through a settlement meeting process. The process is summarized through a Stipulation, Agreement and Consent Order signed by the violator and the ISDA director.

This process involves the dairyman, dairyman's attorney (if wanted), ISDA investigators, the Dairy Bureau Chief, and a Deputy Attorney General. If an agreement can not be reached by the parties, a formal hearing is held. Currently, ISDA has one formal hearing pending. This hearing for ISDA alleged violations that occurred in 2006 and 2007 is scheduled in June.

In determining whether to issue or revoke a license or permit, ISDA's actions are governed by Idaho Code section 37-403, which provides, in pertinent part:

Whenever, under any law of this state or rule, the director of the department of agriculture or any agent is required to inspect dairy farms, and dairy waste systems for compliance with rules prescribed by the department, . . . the director shall make or cause to be made an examination and inspection and shall report his findings and conclusions. . . .

I.C. § 37-403. Thus, the first step is that ISDA employees conduct an investigation, and then write a report. When a dairy waste violation is documented by the ISDA, the law authorizes the ISDA to revoke a dairy operation's permit to sell milk within the state.

The suspension decision may be based upon the investigation and resulting report(s). Section 37-403 does not provide for an analysis of factors unrelated to the particular violation at issue, including an analysis of issues such as wear and tear on roads. ISDA has no jurisdiction over such issues, and the Idaho Legislature has not given ISDA the authority to consider county-related issues.

Section 37-403 then describes the revocation/fine process:

The duration of such revocation shall be determined by the director. For violations regarding waste systems the department shall allow the dairy farm's milk to be processed, provided the milk meets quality standards. The value of the milk sold by the violator during the revocation shall be remitted to the county where the violation occurred for deposition in the county current expense fund. The amount remitted to the county current expense fund shall be less processor expenses associated with the procurement of the milk.

Id.

ISDA has discretion to determine the duration of the revocation. There is no minimum prescribed time period, nor is there a maximum prescribed time period for the length of the revocation. It can be for a period of time less than one day. And again, the length of the revocation translates into the dollar amount of the fine.

One might also question why ISDA settles enforcement actions rather than pursuing administrative hearings for all violations. The answer lies in Idaho's Administrative Procedures Act, Idaho Code § 67-5200 *et seq.* While that Act sets forth the procedures to be followed in contested cases in administrative proceedings, it also provides for informal resolution:

(1) Unless prohibited by other provisions of law:

...

(c) informal disposition may be made of any contested case by negotiation, stipulation, agreed settlement, or consent order.

Informal settlement of matters is to be encouraged.

I.C. § 67-5241 (emphasis added). ISDA's administrative rules also contain that principle:

302.INFORMAL PROCEDURE.

01. Informal Resolution Authorized. These rules encourage the use of informal proceedings to settle or determine contested cases. Unless prohibited by statute, the agency may provide for the use of informal procedure at any stage of a contested case. Informal procedure may include individual contacts by or with the agency staff asking for information, advice or assistance from the agency staff, or proposing informal resolution of formal disputes under the law administered by the agency. Informal procedures may be conducted in writing, by telephone or television, or in person, at the discretion of the agency.

02. Informal Proceedings Do Not Exhaust Administrative Remedies. Unless all parties agree to the contrary in writing, informal proceedings do not substitute for formal proceedings, and do not exhaust administrative remedies, and informal proceedings are conducted without prejudice to the right of the parties to present the matter formally to the agency. Settlement offers made in the course of informal proceedings are confidential, and shall not be included in the agency record of a later formal proceeding.

IDAPA 02.01.01.302.

The ISDA has developed the Dairy Waste Permit Suspension Guidelines and Matrix as a resource guide for determining the appropriate level of enforcement for violations of the Dairy Law and Dairy Rules. Each violation is evaluated based on several factors, e.g.. compliance history, magnitude of harm, willful disregard, etc. This evaluation is authorized pursuant to the Director's authority to determine the duration of the permit revocation. *See* Idaho Code § 37-403. Use of the Guidelines and Matrix helps to ensure consistent, legal sanctions.

A NEW STRATEGY

In February 2008, US, EPA Office of Water, Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance published, "Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permitting Implementation Information Package", (see Appendix E of this MOU).

On pages 13 and 14 of this document EPA outlined the results of 2005, 2006, and 2007 EPA Federal, EPA/State joint inspections and enforcement actions. ISDA put this in a table form.

Year	#EPA Insp	#EPA/State Insp	Enforcement Actions	Total Value of Administrative/ Penalties	Average Penalty	Est lbs of Pollutants Reduced
2005	174	118	63	\$265,000	44,286	(not listed)
2006	262	130	56	\$400,750	7,156	12 million lbs
2007	198	93	58	\$875,000 (admin)	15,086	15 million lbs

I believe it is important as move forward, to better understand what these results actually mean and how certain estimates are determined. Specifically:


1. Enforcement actions means what? Nasty gram? Don't do this again gram? Need to fix stuff gram? Pay the piper gram?
2. Total value of Administrative penalty – assessed how much? Paid how much?
3. Number and extent of judicial penalties?
4. Spreadsheet or method used to determine pounds of pollutants reduced as a result to EPA actions.

I respectfully request this information from our EPA partners. It is my belief that if Idaho uses the same EPA criteria as a measurement of pollutants reduced, there will be a real story to tell.

ISDA DAIRY RELATED COMPLAINTS

During 2007 the Dairy Bureau received 179 complaints:

- 144 nuisance
- 26 environmental
- 8 product
- 1 miscellaneous




WATER QUALITY TESTING

594 dairy well nitrate tests were conducted in 2007. Data from these tests are shared with ISDA's water quality staff and other agencies. All >10 ppm tests are reported to DEQ. In addition, dairy farms receive written notification from ISDA. The Dairy Bureau has requested the ISDA's Water Quality Program to conduct site assessments to assist in determining nitrate source (s) on and around dairy farms that are greater than 10 ppm. The Dairy Bureau conducted 664 coliform tests on dairy well/sweet water systems in 2007. The Bureau will continue to annually test dairy wells for nitrate and coliform.

Further information relating to ground water testing is available on our website at www.agri.idaho.gov / Environment / Water Quality / Fact Sheets & Brochures or Maps.

Dairy Well Nitrate Tests



NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT

The Idaho State Department of Agriculture's (ISDA) Nutrient Management Program covers Nutrient Management Planning (NMP) and Soil Sampling. Currently the ISDA review and approve all Dairy Facilities and CAFO Nutrient Management Plans. ISDA adopted the NRCS 590 (99 version) as their Nutrient Management Standard. ISDA follows the University of Idaho Soil Sampling Bulletin 704 (revised) and implemented the IDAPA 02.04.30 Rules Governing Nutrient Management in September 2007.

In 2006, ISDA reviewed 145 and approved 130 Nutrient Management Plans. In 2007, ISDA reviewed 237 and approved 197 Nutrient Management Plans. In the last 2 years ISDA has Certified 38 Nutrient Management Planners. The Certified Nutrient Management Planners are made up of both Private Technical Support Staff and Government Employees. However, only 5-10 planners are actively writing NMP's.

The Idaho State Department of Agriculture (ISDA) sampled approximately 185,565 acres of dairy owned/operated land since the standard was put in place. In 2006, 142 facilities, 903 fields or 41,654 acres were sampled and tested. In 2007, 87 facilities, 563 fields or 45,185 acres were sampled and tested.

September 1, 2007 IDAPA 02.04.30 Rules Governing Nutrient Management became effective. These rules allow ISDA to certify soil samplers to take soil samples under protocols established in the University of Idaho Soil Sampling Bulletin (#704). In the rule, it states that all soil samples collected pursuant to this chapter must be submitted to an approved laboratory by the CSS (Certified Soil Sampler) that collected the soil sample. An approved laboratory is defined as only laboratories that hold a current valid certification from the North American Laboratory Proficiency Testing Program.

In December 2004, the Dairy Bureau started using the ISDA Quality Assurance Lab (QAL) for all the soil testing. Due to the recent addition of IDAPA 02.04.30- Rules Governing Nutrient Management, in September 2007, ISDA has utilized the services of Stukenholtz Laboratory for all soil testing. Stukenholtz Laboratory has passed all of the criteria for the North American Laboratory Proficiency Testing Program and is listed as a certified laboratory.

Since the implementation of IDAPA 02.04.30- Rules Governing Nutrient Management, ISDA has conducted 10 Soil Sampler Certification Courses throughout Idaho. The courses have been taught by the Nutrient Management Program Specialist and the Nutrient Management Technicians. ISDA has certified 147 individuals which include crop consultants, farmers, livestock producers, ISDA Employees and other governmental agencies.

The Dairy Bureau has a procedure in place to regulate the phosphorus threshold standard. The Bureaus' procedure is to notify the producer, in writing, whose field(s) are over the phosphorus threshold (TH). The producers are informed that if soil test P concentrations are above the TH, then crop uptake values will be used in development of the nutrient budget regardless of the nutrient source. Producers are then encouraged in cooperation with the planner, to design a nutrient management plan that will reduce soil test P concentrations below the TH and minimize potential offsite transport. This may require adjustments in crop rotation, irrigation method and

scheduling, form, timing or placement of P applied, and changes in P application rates less than crop P uptake.

Repeat violations of the 590 standard are subject to penalties outlined in the Rules Governing Dairy Waste. The enforcement of this standard has been very difficult primarily do to the past extreme variability in laboratory soil testing protocols and results. Since the new implementation of the IDAPA 02.04.30- Rules Governing Nutrient Management, we have confidence that the requirement to send all soil samples to a certified laboratory will give us consistent results.

NRCS published their new NM 590 Standard in August 2007. The new 590 Standard has key differences from the June 1999 590 Standard which ISDA adopted by rule.

1. Animal waste on pasture/range without commercial fertilizer is not considered land application.
2. Development of risk assessment based on certain criteria.
3. Setbacks and buffers established around sensitive areas. No direct application of nutrients including sprinkler irrigation.
4. Nutrient application timing (nitrogen) based on specific criteria.
5. No nutrient application to frozen, snow-covered, or saturated soil if there is potential runoff risk.
6. Manure nutrient value to be determined prior to land application for each hauling/emptying cycle (NPK per ton).
7. Heavy metal monitoring.
8. Protection of Air Quality by using methods to minimize volatilization.

ISDA COMMITMENT

In December 2007 I became responsible for the management of Beef Cattle Environmental Control Act for ISDA in addition to the Dairy Bureau responsibilities. It was extremely important to me that EPA has the same confidence level with Idaho's Beef Environmental Program as they have expressed with the dairy program.

A list of 10 bullet items was presented to EPA in December outlining ISDA's dedication to the renewal of a working arrangement with EPA demonstrating our commitment to environmental protection through the Beef MOU.

1. Provide electronic GPS information of Beef CAFO's & Dairy facilities.
2. An ISDA invitation to EPA to accompany ISDA during their early December two-day flyover throughout southern Idaho on ISDA's dime.
3. Minimum of one wet weather inspection of all Beef CAFO's.
4. Invitation to EPA to accompany ISDA in January/February for a second flyover of all Southern Idaho Dairy/Beef CAFO's on ISDA's dime.
5. Conduct immediate follow up joint inspections on all facilities identified as potential problems during flyovers.
6. Provide monthly updates or status reports.
7. Establish clear understanding on 2 recent EPA cases as how/who conducts follow-up inspections and protocols if significant issues are identified.
8. Vow to always keep communication open.
9. Work toward finding or establishing a protocol to provide EPA confidence that Idaho meets/exceeds the National Wet Weather Priority criteria.
10. Accompany ISDA inspectors on routine and complaint driven inspections.

On 12/27/07 ISDA conducted a fly-over in Treasure Valley. Nick Peak, EPA was present during this fly-over and on the 1/3/08 fly-over covering Magic Valley and areas around American Falls Reservoir. Over 12 hours of air time were logged. The fly-overs were conducted to determine the condition of livestock operations for climatic conditions during that time frame. The fly-overs were useful in identifying livestock operations that had significant containment issues and helped to determine the adequacy of dairy farm containment systems professionally designed to handle weather conditions.

I believe we have honored these commitments to EPA and are establishing a good working relationship with the Industry which will result in an improved commitment to work together to improve environmental conditions. This will be an asset to the Beef and Dairy MOU's. However, there appears to be a breakdown in candid communication with all signatory parties and this needs to improve.

SUMMARY

The Idaho Dairy Pollution Prevention Initiative Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) is at a crossroads. The new EPA CAFO Wet Weather strategy will eliminate or significantly alter the existing parameters outlined in the MOU if proposed Region 10 EPA verbal protocols are put in place. The same can be said about the Beef MOU.

What caused this change in policy? What are the anticipated outcomes? Will the "strategy" improve overall environmental conditions?

Essentially the new "strategy" will consist of approximately 10 to 25 EPA - led wet weather inspections on CAFO sized facilities in Washington, Oregon, or Idaho. Information gathered to "target" CAFO facilities will be generated from EPA fly-overs, information received from tips, complaints, etc. and any information provided by responsible state regulatory agencies.

Clean Water Act (CWA) violations documented by EPA during the wet-weather blitzes will be prosecuted by EPA. This procedure will be applicable in delegated states Washington and Oregon and in non-delegated Idaho, in spite of the existing state programs. In reality, the Wet Weather Program is quite similar to actions taken in Idaho prior to the MOU's execution. In particular annual wet weather blitzes by EPA/DEQ and regulatory action by EPA for violators were the norm pre-MOU.

Quite frankly, this protocol proved to be virtually ineffective in providing enhanced environmental improvement with Idaho's livestock industry. The lack of CWA compliance under the pre-1996 EPA strategy was widely exposed during the first year of the Dairy MOU as ISDA was able to uncover and work toward solutions for problems existing on CAFO's. EPA/DEQ resources did not adequately address real livestock environmental problems.

The signatory parties to the Dairy MOU developed a carefully conceived and drafted plan to improve the environment in real and significant ways. The MOU has been very successful in achieving CWA compliance. EPA oversight has been invaluable to the success of the program. In the past they have truly been partners in making the dairy waste program work.

I reflect back to the year 2000 in preparation for the April MOU annual report. In January 2000 I provided EPA Seattle with a list of all facilities that had non-compliance or discharge violations and regulatory actions and any dairy that ISDA received a complaint about. EPA targeted 46 dairy farms from the information ISDA provided. EPA fly-overs and subsequent on ground joint inspections were conducted on all facilities. Information gathered from close scrutiny of the ISDA was used by EPA to determine the depth and integrity of the program.

In my opinion the ISDA program makes the EPA pre-MOU and the new proposed strategy pale in comparison. The spirit of partnership resolving issues or developing alternative means to improve environmental conditions has been strained. This is how it's going to be because Washington D.C. has established some CAFO goals that must be accomplished by their methods with extremely limited Signatory Party input doesn't bode well for continued success.

Quality leadership is leadership that recognizes a quality product and will go the extra mile to guard against unrealistic policies from individuals that do not have a true view of the big picture.

Respectfully Submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Marv Patten".

Marv Patten, Chief
Dairy Bureau

New Waste Inspection Summary

SUMMARY SEARCH CRITERIA

Beginning Date _____ : 10/01/95
Ending Date _____ : 12/31/07
For Inspector _____ : All
For County _____ : All
For Plant _____ : All
For BTU _____ : All
For Water Basin _____ : All
For Producer _____ : All

WASTE INSPECTION SUMMARY

Total Waste Inspections _____ : 17350
Routine _____ : 16320
Follow-Up _____ : 171
Pre-Qualifying _____ : 69
Qualifying _____ : 284
Other _____ : 318
Complaint _____ : 188
Total Animals _____ : 9502277

WASTE INSPECTION VIOLATION SUMMARY

	NON-COMP	
	DISCHARGE	
1a. Barn Waste Containment _____ :	286	9
1b. Corral/Facility Containment _____ :	178	40
1c. Separation System _____ :	29	2
1d. Construction Approval _____ :	121	
1e. Adequate Liquid Storage _____ :	192	
1f. Waste Facilities Well Maintained _____ :	583	
1g. Evidence of Past Discharge _____ :	82	
1h. Animals Confined From Waterways _____ :	70	3
2a. Nutrient Management Plan _____ :	225	
2a1. Crop Rotation and Yield _____ :	25	
2a2. Animal Numbers _____ :	3	
2a3. Barn Water Use _____ :	10	
2a4. Waste Export _____ :	21	
2b. Record Keeping Current _____ :	195	
2c. Liquid Application _____ :	177	42
2d. Solid Application _____ :	23	10

COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE SUMMARY

Producer with 1 or more Non-Compliance Items Checked _____ : 2232
Producer with 1 or more Discharge Violations Checked _____ : 96
Producer with Repeat Non-Compliance Box Checked _____ : 35
Producer with Discharge Insp Box Checked _____ : 16
Producer with Revoke Milk Box Checked _____ : 7
Producer with Admin Hearing Box Checked _____ : 52

Old Waste Inspection Summary

SUMMARY SEARCH CRITERIA

Beginning Date _____ : 10/01/95
Ending Date _____ : 12/31/07
For Inspector _____ : All
For County _____ : All
For Plant _____ : All
For BTU _____ : All
For Water Basin _____ : All
For Producer _____ : All

WASTE INSPECTION SUMMARY

Total Waste Inspections _____ : 11026
Routine _____ : 10293
Follow-Up _____ : 242
Complaint _____ : 196
Review _____ : 43
Approval _____ : 193
Total Animals _____ : 3190428

WASTE INSPECTION VIOLATION SUMMARY

1a. Properly constructed and maintained milking center facility _____ : 1423
1b. Animals properly confined _____ : 194
1c. Waterways protected _____ : 740
2a. Properly designed/constructed/located _____ : 1369
2b. Adequate storage capacity _____ : 358
2c. Facility properly maintained to prevent nuisance conditions _____ : 255
3a. Adequate general description of the W/M plan available _____ : 141
3b. Are solids/liquids handled and disposed of properly _____ : 1000
3c. Appropriate schedules/rates of land application provided _____ : 36
3d. Agreement available if disposal involves other land owners _____ : 20
3e. Changes in plan since last inspection _____ : 2
3f. Evidence of a past discharge _____ : 986

COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE SUMMARY

Notices of Non-Compliance _____ : 1433
Notices of Discharge Violations _____ : 791
Repeat Notices of Non-Compliance _____ : 391
Repeat Notices of Discharge _____ : 103
Revocations of Milk Permits _____ : 89

New Waste Inspection Summary

SUMMARY SEARCH CRITERIA

Beginning Date _____ : 01/01/07
 Ending Date _____ : 12/31/07
 For Inspector _____ : All
 For County _____ : All
 For Plant _____ : All
 For BTU _____ : All
 For Water Basin _____ : All
 For Producer _____ : All

WASTE INSPECTION SUMMARY

Total Waste Inspections _____ : 1921
 Routine _____ : 1757
 Follow-Up _____ : 35
 Pre-Qualifying _____ : 5
 Qualifying _____ : 26
 Other _____ : 68
 Complaint _____ : 30
 Total Animals _____ : 1554375

WASTE INSPECTION VIOLATION SUMMARY

	NON-COMP DISCHARGE	
1a. Barn Waste Containment _____ :	50	0
1b. Corral/Facility Containment _____ :	33	2
1c. Separation System _____ :	1	0
1d. Construction Approval _____ :	14	
1e. Adequate Liquid Storage _____ :	20	
1f. Waste Facilities Well Maintained _____ :	36	
1g. Evidence of Past Discharge _____ :	5	
1h. Animals Confined From Waterways _____ :	8	0
2a. Nutrient Management Plan _____ :	47	
2a1. Crop Rotation and Yield _____ :	7	
2a2. Animal Numbers _____ :	1	
2a3. Barn Water Use _____ :	3	
2a4. Waste Export _____ :	6	
2b. Record Keeping Current _____ :	30	
2c. Liquid Application _____ :	17	7
2d. Solid Application _____ :	1	2

COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE SUMMARY

Producer with 1 or more Non-Compliance Items Checked _____ : 289
 Producer with 1 or more Discharge Violations Checked _____ : 9
 Producer with Repeat Non-Compliance Box Checked _____ : 9
 Producer with Discharge Insp Box Checked _____ : 5
 Producer with Revoke Milk Box Checked _____ : 0
 Producer with Admin Hearing Box Checked _____ : 0

Waste Inspection – Mature Animal Summary

County	Dairies 1-200 Animals	Dairies 201-500 Animals	Dairies 501-750 Animals	Dairies 751-1000 Animals	Dairies 1001-2000 Animals	Dairies 2000+ Animals	Total Animals
Ada County	6	7	2	3	4	3	19,305
Bannock County	5	1	1	0	0	0	1,245
Bear Lake County	18	0	0	0	0	0	880
Bingham County	19	7	5	1	1	0	8,998
Bonner County	1	0	0	0	0	0	72
Bonneville County	6	1	0	0	0	0	784
Boundary County	2	0	0	0	0	0	156
Butte County	0	2	0	0	0	0	510
Canyon County	15	7	3	2	8	6	36,616
Caribou County	11	1	0	0	0	0	1,039
Cassia County	14	8	2	3	9	11	60,453
Elmore County	0	0	0	1	0	5	16,200
Franklin County	48	8	3	0	0	1	10,938
Fremont County	5	0	0	0	0	0	388
Gem County	11	2	0	0	0	0	1,495
Gooding County	11	17	12	13	26	20	122,462
Idaho County	4	0	0	0	0	0	265
Jefferson County	19	3	1	1	1	1	9,193
Jerome County	3	9	11	9	17	11	74,796
Latah County	1	0	0	0	0	0	84
Lemhi County	2	0	0	0	0	0	225
Lincoln County	12	6	3	1	0	6	28,653
Madison County	4	0	0	0	0	0	288
Minidoka County	14	2	3	1	0	3	11,470
Oneida County	4	0	0	0	0	0	273
Owyhee County	5	5	0	1	1	4	21,690
Payette County	9	6	2	1	2	2	14,313
Power County	0	0	1	0	0	0	560
Teton County	8	0	0	0	0	0	462
Twin Falls County	15	17	10	8	11	9	63,581
Washington County	0	1	0	0	0	0	205
Other County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
648 Total Producers	272	110	59	45	80	82	507,599

DAIRY BUREAU SANITATION PROGRAM

Following are totals for the various activities for the Dairy Bureau from
1-1-07 thru 12-31-07

INSPECTIONS

Grade A Dairy Farm Inspections	2,619
Manufacturing Grade Dairy Farm Inspections	79
Bulk Tanker Inspections	240
Bulk Hauler Evaluation	280
Dairy Plant Sampler Evaluation	115
Grade A Pre-Qualifying	50
Manufacturing Grade Pre-Qualifying	0
HTST Timing	306
Vat Pasteurizer Equipment Check	25
State Plant Inspections	105
USDA Plant Inspections	61
Antibiotic Investigations	39
BTU Rating	17
Plant Rating	9
Single Service Plant Rating	2
Laboratory Evaluations	30
Split Samples	5
Total Bureau of Dairying inspections performed to ensure the sanitation and wholesomeness of milk and milk products	3,982

MILK/DAIRY PRODUCTS REJECTED/CONDEMNED

Producer Excluded/adulterated/rejected	367,611
Pounds of milk rejected/drugs	2,504,381
Producer Pounds of milk rejected/drugs	1,590,238
Total Pounds Rejected	2,871,992

GRADE A

Repeat Inspection Violation	646
Bacteria Violation	6
Somatic Cell Count Violation	19
Antibiotic Violation	48
Well Water Violation	25
Sweet Water Violation	18
Degrade for Repeat Inspection Violation	19
Degrade for Bacteria Violation	13
Degrade for Somatic Cell Count Violation	18

GRADE B

Bacteria Violation	1
Somatic Cell Count Violation	4
Antibiotic Violation	0
Farms Rated Probational due to score	3
Off Market for Bacteria Violation	1
Off Market for Somatic Cell Count Violation	1
Sediment Violation	3
Total Warnings Issued	825

STATE SAMPLING

Dairy Farm Water Supply	1,262
Dairy Plant Water Supply	144
Milk/Dairy Products	12
Antibiotic Testing	39
Monthly Product	213
Dairy Plant-Environmental	20
Total Samples Taken	1,690

LABORATORY TESTING (Environmental/Finished Product)

Standard Plate Count	962
Coliform	903
Phosphatase	648
Inhibitory Substances	1,180
DMSCC	149
Residual Coliform	120
Residual Bacteria	120
Number of certified Analysts	70
Number of Approved Industry Analysts	81
Total dairy lab testing	4,233

CERTIFICATION OF DAIRY PRODUCTS FOR EXPORT	304,928,837 lbs
Certificates of Origin/Sanitation for Export	2,311

LICENSING/REGISTRATIONS

Bulk Milk Hauler	202
Cheese Factory	16
Cheese Re-Processing	3
Condensery	9
Creamery	1
Ice Cream	9
Mix Making Plant	4
Powdered Milk Plant	8
Cream Buying/Shipping	7
Egg Distributors	47
Egg Candler	10
Total dairy industry licensing/registrations	316

All Complaints Received 01/01/2007 through 12/31/2007

Number	Received	Type	Producer / Nature of Complaint	Source	Complainant	Referred To
2007-0001	01/03/2007	Environmental	FOUR BROTHERS DAIRY INC. Alleging manure on the roadway	Individual	Gary Will	Kari Brown
2007-0002	01/05/2007	Environmental	ROCKCREEK DAIRY BARN #2 Alleging manure on the road	Individual	Chris Hurley/Western St Bus	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0004	01/02/2007	Environmental	*Jerome County Alleging manure running all over the road	Individual	unknown	J Wing
2007-0005	01/08/2007	Product	*Darigold Alleging worm like creature in milk	Individual	Rhonda Lee	Leo Peloquin Sr.
2007-0006	01/29/2007	Environmental	HIGH PLAINS DAIRY Alleging waste is being pumped of a field	Individual	anonymous	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0007	01/23/2007	Nuisance	EDWARD FERREIRA Alleging mistreating animals	Individual	anonymous	Leo Peloquin Sr.
2007-0008	01/22/2007	Nuisance	BERANNA DAIRY Alleging mistreating animals	Individual	Gladys Schaefer	Leo Peloquin Sr.
2007-0009	01/24/2007	Product	*Boise Alleging Albertsons 2% milk is spoiling before expiration date	Individual	Robert Hulett	Leo Peloquin Sr.
2007-0010	02/06/2007	Nuisance	BETTENCOURT DAIRY #6 Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Don Davis	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0011	02/14/2007	Product	*Buhl, Id Alleging mucus substance in milk	Individual	Pat Smith	Brook Leguineche
2007-0012	02/12/2007	Product	*Boise, Id Alleging chemical tasting milk	Individual	Jim Larkin	Leo Peloquin Sr.
2007-0013	02/21/2007	Environmental	*Gooding, Id Alleging excessive manure on roadway	Individual	anonymous	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0014	03/04/2007	Nuisance	DESERT ROSE FARMS Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Jim Conder	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0015	03/04/2007	Nuisance	DESERT ROSE FARMS Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Jack Wendling	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0016	03/10/2007	Nuisance	BETTENCOURT DAIRY #6 Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Don Davis	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0017	03/19/2007	Nuisance	BERANNA DAIRY Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Alice Venrick	Allen Giacomini
2007-0018	03/19/2007	Nuisance	SUNRIDGE DAIRY Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Joanne Pywell	Allen Giacomini
2007-0019	03/20/2007	Nuisance	SUNRIDGE DAIRY Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Debbie McDaniel	Allen Giacomini
2007-0020	03/17/2007	Nuisance	REITSMA DAIRY Alleging terrible odor & cleanliness issues	Individual	Betty Morris	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0021	03/26/2007	Environmental	WEST POINT FARMS Alleging waste flowing towards the road	Individual	anonymous	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0022	04/11/2007	Nuisance	*Hagerman Alleging porcelain pipes are flooding his property	Individual	Luther Faylor	Marv Patten
2007-0023	04/05/2007	Nuisance	*Fruitland Alleging compost is creating flies & is a public nuisance	Government	Rick Strawn via SW Dist Health	Leo Peloquin Sr.
2007-0024	03/24/2007	Nuisance	BETTENCOURT DAIRY #6 Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Don Davis	Lynn Godfrey

All Complaints Received 01/01/2007 through 12/31/2007

Number	Received	Type	Producer / Nature of Complaint	Source	Complainant	Referred To
2007-0025	03/26/2007	Nuisance	BETTENCOURT DAIRY #6 Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Don Davis	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0026	03/28/2007	Nuisance	BETTENCOURT DAIRY #6 Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Don Davis	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0027	03/29/2007	Nuisance	BETTENCOURT DAIRY #6 Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Don Davis	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0028	04/09/2007	Nuisance	*Hollister, Id Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Siddy Morris	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0029	03/24/2007	Nuisance	*Filer, Id Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Jack Wendling	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0030	03/23/2007	Nuisance	*Filer, Id Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Jim Conder	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0031	03/29/2007	Nuisance	WEST POINT FARMS Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Rick Rickowski	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0032	03/23/2007	Nuisance	DESERT ROSE FARMS Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Jim Conder	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0033	03/27/2007	Environmental	BRANDSMA DAIRY Alleging dead calves & manure on the road	Individual	anonymous	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0034	04/12/2007	Environmental	*Kuna, Id Alleging ditch might flood to properties	Individual	Sable Ridge Subdivision	Allen Giacomini
2007-0035	04/24/2007	Product	*Blackfoot, Id Alleging eggs in Wal-Mart were dirty & broken & meat was too fatty	Individual	Berna Mangum	Ken Peterson
2007-0036	04/14/2007	Nuisance	BETTENCOURT DAIRY #6 Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Don Davis	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0037	04/15/2007	Nuisance	G & H DAIRY #2 Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Pat Kueny	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0038	04/17/2007	Nuisance	*Buhl, Id Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Carol Shanahar	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0039	04/20/2007	Environmental	TAGALONG DAIRY Alleging dairy is dumping liquid manure on road	Government	Charlie/ID DEQ	Matt Griffin
2007-0040	04/26/2007	Nuisance	*Wendell, Id Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Melody Findley	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0041	04/27/2007	Nuisance	*Wendell, Id Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Charlotte Bukley	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0042	05/02/2007	Environmental	KASPER DAIRY Alleging spraying liquid waste on road	Individual	anonymous	Allen Giacomini
2007-0043	05/01/2007	Product	*Boise, Id Alleging black particles in milk	Other	Ray Tanner	Leo Peloquin Sr.
2007-0044	04/29/2007	Nuisance	BETTENCOURT DAIRY #6 Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Don Davis	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0045	04/29/2007	Nuisance	BETTENCOURT DAIRY #6 Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Lana Lamm	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0046	05/01/2007	Nuisance	BETTENCOURT DAIRY #6 Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Garrett Lamm	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0047	05/01/2007	Nuisance	BETTENCOURT DAIRY #6 Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Don Davis	Lynn Godfrey

All Complaints Received 01/01/2007 through 12/31/2007

Number	Received	Type	Producer / Nature of Complaint	Source	Complainant	Referred To
2007-0048	05/07/2007	Nuisance	BETTENCOURT DAIRY #6 Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Derek Lamm	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0049	04/30/2007	Nuisance	DESERT ROSE FARMS Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Chris Mannen	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0050	04/29/2007	Nuisance	SWAGER & SONS DAIRY Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Bob Thornborrow	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0051	04/28/2007	Nuisance	*Wendell, Id Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Melody Similie	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0052	05/10/2007	Nuisance	NILES HARRIS Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Kelly Dance	Matt Griffin
2007-0053	05/10/2007	Nuisance	*Boise, Id Alleging terrible odor	Individual	anonymous	Allen Giacomini
2007-0054	05/10/2007	Nuisance	*Boise, Id Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Steve Ogle/DEQ	Allen Giacomini
2007-0055	05/14/2007	Nuisance	Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Julie Au via Julia at DEQ	Allen Giacomini
2007-0056	05/16/2007	Nuisance	DEGROOT DAIRY, LLC Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Dan Helm	Allen Giacomini
2007-0057	05/18/2007	Nuisance	*Filer, Id Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Phoung Smith	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0058	05/20/2007	Nuisance	HIGH DESERT DAIRY Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Kim Hellsley	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0059	05/22/2007	Nuisance	G & H DAIRY #2 Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Marvin Lively	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0060	05/26/2007	Nuisance	DESERT ROSE FARMS Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Judy wright	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0061	05/26/2007	Nuisance	*Filer, Id Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Phoung Smith	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0062	05/30/2007	Nuisance	*Filer, Id Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Janice Montegna	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0063	05/31/2007	Nuisance	BETTENCOURT DAIRY #6 Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Don Davis	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0064	06/11/2007	Environmental	*Castleford, Id Alleging stock piling manure may cause problems	Individual	Ron Bishop	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0065	06/07/2007	Environmental	SWAGER & SONS DAIRY Alleging using pivot in high winds	Individual	Jim Conder	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0066	06/05/2007	Nuisance	SWAGER & SONS DAIRY Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Jim Conder	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0067	05/31/2007	Nuisance	DESERT ROSE FARMS Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Cindy Bitzenburg	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0068	06/09/2007	Nuisance	BETTENCOURT DAIRY #6 Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Lana Lamm	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0069	05/26/2007	Nuisance	*Filer, Id Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Phoung Smith	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0070	06/07/2007	Environmental	SOARES DAIRY #3 Alleging lagoon is lowering w/out application	Individual	anonymous	Lynn Godfrey

All Complaints Received 01/01/2007 through 12/31/2007

Number	Received	Type	Producer / Nature of Complaint	Source	Complainant	Referred To
2007-0071	06/17/2007	Nuisance	DESERT ROSE FARMS Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Betty Slifer	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0072	06/20/2007	Nuisance	BERANNA DAIRY Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Alice Venrick	Allen Giacomini
2007-0073	06/11/2007	Nuisance	WHITE CLOVER DAIRY LLC Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Shari Suter	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0074	06/27/2007	Nuisance	BETTENCOURT DAIRY #6 Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Lana Lamm	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0075	06/21/2007	Nuisance	DESERT ROSE FARMS Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Lori Warren	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0076	06/13/2007	Nuisance	*Buhl, Id Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Ken Jones	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0077	06/14/2007	Nuisance	*Burley, Id Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Dr. Davis	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0078	06/22/2007	Nuisance	*Filer, Id Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Jack Wendling	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0079	06/22/2007	Nuisance	*Filer, Id Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Jim Conder	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0080	06/22/2007	Nuisance	*Filer, Id Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Gary Benedix	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0081	06/25/2007	Environmental	*Wendell, Id Dead calves along the side of the road	Individual	ISDA	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0082	06/13/2007	Environmental	G & H DAIRY #2 Alleging waste from dairy is contaminating his pond	Individual	Pat Kueny	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0083	06/27/2007	Environmental	*Wendell, Id Alleging barrels of guts from dead animals causing odor/flyies	Individual	Mrs. Rocha	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0084	07/04/2007	Environmental	BETTENCOURT DAIRY #8 Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Barbara Moon	Mike Wiggs
2007-0085	06/06/2007	Nuisance	WHITESIDES DAIRY EAST #1 Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Karma Ball	Kari Brown
2007-0086	06/30/2007	Nuisance	EAST RIDGE MILK Alleging terrible odor	Individual	anonymous	J Wing
2007-0087	07/17/2007	Environmental	MAGIC MILK DAIRY Alleging terrible odor from whey dumped into pond	Government	Twin Falls Canal Co	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0088	07/23/2007	Environmental	KASPER DAIRY Alleging water from pivots spraying into backyard	Individual	Kyle Winnicki	Allen Giacomini
2007-0089	08/02/2007	Nuisance	ROORDA DAIRY LLC Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Darlene Cross	Allen Giacomini
2007-0090	07/30/2007	Miscellaneous	EDWARD FERREIRA Alleging dairy is not taking care of cows	Individual	Joann Snyder	Marv Patten
2007-0091	08/15/2007	Nuisance	*Nampa, Id Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Marlon Pywell	Allen Giacomini
2007-0092	08/09/2007	Nuisance	*Filer, Id Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Ed Smith	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0093	08/11/2007	Nuisance	*Filer, Id Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Ed Smith	Lynn Godfrey

All Complaints Received 01/01/2007 through 12/31/2007

Number	Received	Type	Producer / Nature of Complaint	Source	Complainant	Referred To
2007-0094	08/11/2007	Nuisance	*Filer, Id Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Ed Smith	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0095	08/11/2007	Nuisance	*Filer, Id Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Betty Slifer	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0096	08/14/2007	Nuisance	*Filer, Id Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Ed Smith	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0097	08/09/2007	Nuisance	*Filer, Id Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Betty Slifer	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0098	08/15/2007	Nuisance	BETTENCOURT DAIRY #6 Alleging terrible door	Individual	Phoung Smith	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0099	07/31/2007	Nuisance	DESERT ROSE FARMS Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Gary Benedix	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0100	08/13/2007	Nuisance	DESERT ROSE FARMS Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Chris Mannen	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0101	08/15/2007	Nuisance	DESERT ROSE FARMS Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Chris Mannen	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0102	08/13/2007	Nuisance	DESERT ROSE FARMS Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Gary Benedix	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0103	08/11/2007	Nuisance	DESERT ROSE FARMS Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Gary Benedix	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0104	08/13/2007	Nuisance	DESERT ROSE FARMS Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Vicky Benedix	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0105	08/11/2007	Nuisance	DESERT ROSE FARMS Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Phoung Smith	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0106	08/01/2007	Nuisance	DESERT ROSE FARMS Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Phoung Smith	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0107	08/16/2007	Nuisance	DESERT ROSE FARMS Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Trina Miller	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0108	08/15/2007	Nuisance	DESERT ROSE FARMS Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Ginny Gunn	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0109	08/15/2007	Nuisance	DESERT ROSE FARMS Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Jack Wendling	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0110	08/13/2007	Nuisance	DESERT ROSE FARMS Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Ginny Gunn	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0111	08/01/2007	Nuisance	DESERT ROSE FARMS Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Gary Benedix	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0112	07/27/2007	Nuisance	DESERT ROSE FARMS Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Jack wendling	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0113	08/15/2007	Nuisance	DESERT ROSE FARMS Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Mary Curtis	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0114	08/17/2007	Nuisance	DESERT ROSE FARMS Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Lori Warren	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0115	08/16/2007	Nuisance	DESERT ROSE FARMS Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Chris Mannen	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0116	08/15/2007	Nuisance	*Filer, Id Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Betty Slifer	Lynn Godfrey

All Complaints Received 01/01/2007 through 12/31/2007

Number	Received	Type	Producer / Nature of Complaint	Source	Complainant	Referred To
2007-0117	08/04/2007	Nuisance	*Filer, Id Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Kathleen Wendling	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0118	08/04/2007	Nuisance	*Filer, Id Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Jim Conder	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0119	08/08/2007	Nuisance	*Filer, Id Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Phoung Smith	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0120	08/15/2007	Nuisance	*Filer, Id Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Jim Conder	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0121	08/23/2007	Nuisance	SHADY GROVE DAIRY FARM Alleging terrible fly problem	Individual	anonymous	Kari Brown
2007-0122	08/22/2007	Nuisance	*Twin Falls, Id Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Cindy Bitzenburg	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0123	08/22/2007	Nuisance	*Twin Falls, Id Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Jim Bitzenburg	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0124	08/28/2007	Nuisance	*Jerome, Id Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Judy Gulley	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0125	08/22/2007	Nuisance	DESERT ROSE FARMS Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Casey Bitzenburg	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0126	08/31/2007	Nuisance	BERANNA DAIRY Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Alice Venrick	Allen Giacomini
2007-0127	09/03/2007	Nuisance	SUNRIDGE DAIRY Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Marlon Pywell	Allen Giacomini
2007-0128	09/08/2007	Product	*New Plymouth, Id Alleging milk that smells like onions	Individual	Steve Snow	Leo Peloquin Sr.
2007-0129	09/20/2007	Environmental	VIERSTRA DAIRIES Alleging water running through corrals onto the road	Individual	anonymous	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0130	09/23/2007	Nuisance	LOU, L.P., RICH MURGOITIO Alleging terrible fly & odor problem	Individual	anonymous	Allen Giacomini
2007-0131	09/24/2007	Nuisance	LOU, L.P., RICH MURGOITIO Alleging terrible fly problem	Individual	Jackie Brown	Allen Giacomini
2007-0132	09/22/2007	Nuisance	LOU, L.P., RICH MURGOITIO Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Hank Smith	Allen Giacomini
2007-0133	09/25/2007	Nuisance	LOU, L.P., RICH MURGOITIO Alleging terrible odor	Individual	Michael Clayville	Allen Giacomini
2007-0134	09/26/2007	Nuisance	*Firth, Id Alleging terrible flies	Individual	Elizabeth Olson	Matt Griffin
2007-0135	10/08/2007	Environmental	SCHILDER DAIRY #2 Alleging manure pile on fire	Individual	Brenda Thompson	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0136	10/29/2007	Environmental	BETTENCOURT DAIRY #6 Alleging pivot is spraying onto the road	Individual	Donny Sabata	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0137	11/08/2007	Environmental	CEDAR ARCH DAIRY Alleging dead cow along the canal	Individual	anonymous	Matt Griffin
2007-0138	10/27/2007	Environmental	G & H DAIRY #2 Alleging waste water spraying onto lane & field	Individual	Gary Van Winkle	Lynn Godfrey
2007-0139	12/07/2007	Environmental	FOUR BROTHERS DAIRY INC. Alleging manure on road	Individual	Gary Will	Kari Brown

All Complaints Received 01/01/2007 through 12/31/2007

Number	Received	Type	Producer / Nature of Complaint	Source	Complainant	Referred To
2007-0140	12/10/2007	Nuisance	BIG SKY WEST Alleging spreading 3rd party waste	Individual	Bryan Ravenscroft	Kari Brown
2007-0141	12/24/2007	Product	*Meridian, Id Someone selling egg nog	Government	Bob Jue/Central Dist Health	Leo Peloquin Sr
2007-0142	12/28/2007	Environmental	BUTTE VU FARMS Alleging waste water too close to river	Individual	Nick Peak/EPA	Matt Griffin

141 Complaints	26 ENV's.	8 PROD's.	106 NUISANCE's.	1 MISC's		